Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/926,817	FUJIEDA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher P Bruenjes	1772
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>amendment after non-final</u>		
(1) Christopher P Bruenjes (PTO).	(3)	
(2) Thomas Cunningham (applicant's representative). (4)~		
Date of Interview: 8 June 2005	Time: <u>4:00 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant gave permission to make the changes to the specification regarding the drawings in the Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
•		
CL-Bai		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – if appropriate)